

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,829	10/20/2004	Motoo Sumida	47233-0045-00-US (219711)	8556
55694 DRINKER BI	7590 03/22/2010 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STRE		CUTLIFF, YATE KAI RENE		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-1209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,829	SUMIDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YATE' K. CUTLIFF	1621		
	10/511,829 Examiner	10/511,829 SUMIDA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	YATE' K. CUTLIFF	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Nation of Non Co.	maliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (F 1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.4.5. 15 - 18, 21, 24, 27 & 29 - 35</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
/Yate' K. Cutliff/ Assistant Examiner, Art Unit 1621	/Rosalynd Keys/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments traversing the 25 USC 103(a) rejection are not greatly different from the arguments presented in the response received October 28, 2009; which the Examiner did not find persuasive. Applicant asserts that by their testing that when using medium-chain fatty acids in the process of Vukihisa, the esterification is not sufficiently carried out. However, this does not prove that no esterification occurred, nor does it prove that no monoester was formed. Further, applicant has a purified medium chain fatty acid estery applicant has provided no information on the levels of purity so as to ascartainth. However, the difference between their claimed product and one that can be produced by a process such as disclosd in Yukihisa, and where the monester can be separated from any mixture of fatty acid esters of astaxanthin by HPLC.